

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,843	03/30/2004	Ahmed Sallam	20423-08591	6495
34415 SYMANTEC/ I	7590 06/26/2007 FENWICK	EXAMINER		
SILICON VAL 801 CALIFORI		PEESO, THOMAS R		
	IEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptoc@fenwick.com bhoffman@fenwick.com aprice@fenwick.com

7.	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	10/814,843	SALLAM, AHMED				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas R. Peeso	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· <u> </u>	<i>,</i> —					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,15,20 and 23</u> is/are rejected.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) 3,8-14,16-19 and 21 is/are objected						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	•					
	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on $30$ Mar $2004$ is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected t	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. ,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have been red	ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rec	ceived.				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.</li> </ul>		mal Patent Application				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :01Sep2005, 28Dec2005, 25May2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,843

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 15, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 6,151,643 to Cheng et al.

As per claims 1, 15 and 23, Cheng et al. disclose the limitations of these claims (see at least the abstract).

As per claims 2 and 20, Cheng et al. disclose ......a filter driver (col. 18, lines 22-34).

As per claim 4, Cheng et al. further disclose this feature (col. 6, lines 51-62).

As per claim 5, Cheng et al. also disclose this limitation (col. 7, lines 40-45).

As per claim 6, Cheng et al. disclose this element (col. 8, lines 44-54).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,843

Art Unit: 2132

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the examiner taking official notice.

As per claim 7, Cheng et al. does not specifically disclose the limitations of this claim. The examiner, however, takes official notice that these elements are well known in the art of security systems. It would have been obvious to anyone having an ordinary level of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included these features in the invention of Cheng et al. since they comprise very well known elements necessary for the entire system to function in a secure and controlled environment.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 22 is allowed.

Claims 3, 8-14, 16-19, 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Peeso whose telephone number is 571 272-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri, 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. The central fax number for the office is 571 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, can be reached on 571 272-3799.

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas R. Peeso Primary Examiner

14 June 2007